	Case 1:24-cv-00493-JLT-EPG	Document 39	Filed 07/16/25	Page 1 of 2				
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	MICHAEL REYES-VILLANUE	VA,	Case No. 1:24-cv-049	93 JLT EPG (PC)				
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER ADOPTING					
13	v.	A	ACTION WITHOUT					
14	TYSON POGUE, et al.,	]	FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS, AN DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO					
15	Defendants.		CLOSE THIS CASE					
16		(	Doc. 31)					
17	Michael Reyes-Villanueva asserts that the defendants violated his civil rights while he							
18	was housed at the Madera County	was housed at the Madera County Jail. (See Doc. 1, 20.) However, the magistrate judge found						
19	Plaintiff failed to prosecute his case and failed to comply with the Court's orders. (Doc. 31 at 1.)							
20	The magistrate judge also found terminating sanctions are appropriate, after considering the							
21	factors identified by the Ninth Circuit in Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir.							
22	2002). (Id. at 2-3.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the Court dismiss the action							
23	without prejudice. (Id. at 3.)							
24	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that							
25	any objections were due within 30 days. (Doc. 31 at 4.) The Court advised Plaintiff that "failure							
26	to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal." (Id.,							
27								
28	<sup>1</sup> The magistrate judge also recommended that the Court lift the stay in the action for purposes of dismissal. (Doc. 31 at 3.) However, the magistrate judge later issued an order lifting the stay. (Doc. 38.)							
		1						

	Case 1:24-cv	-00493-JLT-EPG	Document 39	Filed 07/16/25	Page 2 of 2			
1	citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file objections							
2	and the time to do so has passed.							
3	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of this case.							
4	Having carefully reviewed the entire matter, the Court concludes the Findings and							
5	Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :							
6	1.	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on May 20, 2025 (Doc. 31) are						
7		ADOPTED in full						
8	2.	This action is <b>DIS</b>	MISSED without	prejudice, for failur	e to prosecute and compl			
9		with the Court's or	ders.					
10	3.	The Clerk of Cour	t is <b>DIRECTED</b> to	o close this case.				
11								
12	IT IS SO OR	DERED.		00	1 7/24/2			
13	Dated:	July 16, 2025		UNITED STA	L.Tww/m TES DISTRICT JUDGE			
14				3.011	220 210 1140 1 0 0 0 0 0 0			
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								